Inhalation and ingestion of magnetic foreign bodies in a toddler with persistent cough—a case report

Chaiwei Tong1,2, David Lowinger1, Evangelos Tseros1, Dylan Wanaguru2

1Department of Paediatric Otolaryngology, Sydney Children’s Hospital, Randwick, NSW, Australia; 2Department of Paediatric Surgery, Sydney Children’s Hospital, Randwick, NSW, Australia

Correspondence to: Chaiwei Tong, BMed MD. Department of Paediatric Surgery, Sydney Children’s Hospital, High St., Randwick, 2031 NSW, Australia. Email: chaiwei927@hotmail.com.

Abstract: Inhalation and ingestion of foreign bodies are common emergency presentations in paediatric otolaryngology. Here we present a case of an incidental finding of simultaneous ingestion and inhalation of small magnets in a 21-month-old following a history of worsening cough. The initial diagnosis was thought to be a viral upper respiratory tract infection, however, due to the persistence of symptoms, a chest x-ray was obtained, which revealed two foreign bodies in the location of the left main bronchus. The first attempt at endoscopic removal of foreign bodies using laryngoscopy, bronchoscopy and oesophagoscopy (LBO) was unsuccessful due to a complete occlusion of the left main bronchus by a significant mass of friable granulation tissue precluding visualisation of the foreign bodies. An urgent computed tomography (CT) scan was obtained to further delineate the location of the foreign bodies; this, unfortunately, was limited by streak artefacts but raised the possibility of the foreign bodies being in both the airway and the oesophagus. Given the potential of a fistula and the close proximity of the objects to the carina, median sternotomy with cardiopulmonary bypass was planned for retrieval and reconstruction if endoscopic retrieval failed. Repeat endoscopy successfully retrieved two magnetic ball-bearings measuring 6mm in diameter each. One was located in the left main bronchus and the other in the oesophagus. To our knowledge, this is the first case in Australia described of two foreign bodies entering the airway and digestive tract at the same time. We discuss the presentation, diagnosis and management of this case including the diagnostic dilemma encountered and the involvement of multidisciplinary teams. This case highlights the importance of having a high clinical suspicion of foreign body inhalation/ingestion in paediatric patients presenting with prolonged unexplained respiratory symptoms and no resolution with standard treatment.
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Introduction

Inhalation and ingestion of foreign bodies are common emergency presentations in paediatric otolaryngology. The common foreign bodies involved are organic substances such as nuts, seeds and vegetable. However, in the last decade or so, there has been a rising number of cases of magnetic foreign body ingestion and inhalation, due to the increasing use of small fridge magnets and magnetic toys (1,2). Statistics from the United States National Electronic Injury Surveillance System reported an estimated total of 14,586 suspected magnet ingestion presentations between 2010 and 2015, with the peak incidence in year 2012 at 3,167 (3). Monash University Accident Research Centre reported 39 cases of children who presented to Victorian hospital emergency departments following ingestion of magnets over the period 2004 to 2008 (4). Here we present the first reported case of a 21-month-old toddler who simultaneously inhaled and ingested two magnetic ball-bearings. We discuss the diagnostic dilemma encountered...
and the subsequent management of this case. The following case report is written in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ajo-20-67).

**Case presentation**

A 21-month-old presented to their local doctor with a 2-month history of worsening intermittent dry to wet cough. There were no associated symptoms of respiratory distress or feeding difficulties. Three weeks prior, the local doctor had advised via telehealth review that the symptoms were most likely viral in nature. However, due to persisting symptoms, the toddler was brought back by their concerned parent and a chest X-ray was obtained, which revealed an incidental finding of two radio-opaque foreign bodies in the region of the left main bronchus, with a hyper-inflated left lung and tracheal deviation to the right (Figure 1). The toddler was therefore transferred to our facility for further assessment. Upon clarification with the parent, a history of a possible choking event was elicited, whereby the child was chewing on a magnetic toy and began coughing, but settled following a vomit so the parent thought no more of it.

On examination, the toddler was afebrile, with a respiratory rate of 42 brpm, saturating at 97% in room air and heart rate of 142 bpm. The toddler appeared well, with no symptoms of drooling nor stridor. There was widespread poor air entry of bilateral lungs. Cardiovascular and abdominal examinations were within normal limits. White cell count was elevated at 14.4×10⁹/L but neutrophil and lymphocyte counts were normal at 5.31×10⁹/L and 6.68×10⁹/L, respectively. The C-reactive protein level was also unremarkable at 5 mg/L.

An attempt at endoscopic removal of foreign bodies was made. Laryngoscopy, bronchoscopy and oesophagoscopy (LBO) found a significant mass of granulation tissue obstructing the proximal left main bronchus resulting in complete occlusion (Figure 2). There was induration but no foreign bodies visualised in the oesophagus. The granulation tissue was extremely friable and bled profusely on minimal contact. The procedure was abandoned and an urgent computed tomography (CT) of the chest was obtained. The CT revealed two metallic foreign bodies, the exact location of which was difficult to identify due to extensive streak artefacts, with associated collapse of the left upper lobe (Figure 3). There was, however, a possibility that one foreign body was in the left main bronchus, the second was in either the oesophagus or mediastinum and both were adhered to each other. The toddler was kept...

---

**Figure 1** Anteroposterior view of chest radiograph showing the magnets sitting in the region of the left main bronchus. There is mediastinal shift towards the right and hyperinflation of the left lung.

**Figure 2** Left main bronchus obstructed by foreign body covered in granulation tissue.

**Figure 3** Coronal view of computed tomography (CT) chest showing locations of ball-bearings and associated collapse of left lung.
monitored in the intensive care unit for 24 hours and given high-dose intravenous dexamethasone and antibiotics prior to re-attempt at retrieval. Given the unclear location of the magnets and close proximity of a potential fistula to the carina, median sternotomy with cardiopulmonary bypass was planned for retrieval and reconstruction if endoscopic retrieval failed. The toddler was taken to the cardiothoracic operating theatres. Repeat LBO successfully retrieved two magnetic ball-bearings measuring 6 mm in diameter each. The first was found in the left main bronchus surrounded by granulation tissue and retrieved with optical forceps (Figure 4). The second was found in the oesophagus after retrieval of the first ball-bearing; it attached itself to the oesophagoscope and was easily removed (Figure 5). The depth was estimated to be approximately 17 cm from the teeth. There was associated ulceration of the oesophageal wall following removal, raising suspicions of a possible perforation (Figure 6). A subsequent barium swallow study 3 days later did not reveal any leak. The toddler was restarted on oral feeds and monitored for a week before a repeat LBO which showed a completely healed oesophageal mucosa and bronchial wall (Figures 7,8). The toddler was then discharged home into the care of a very relieved parent. A follow-up phone call was conducted with the mother a month following discharge; she reports the toddler has been doing well at home, with no recurrence of symptoms. The toy in question has been disposed of.

Discussion

Foreign body ingestion and inhalation are extremely common in children due to their oral sensory seeking
behaviour. Much less common, however, is when foreign bodies enter both the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts at the same time through sheer coincidence. We speculate that when the choking incident occurred; one ball-bearing fell down the trachea, one down the oesophagus, and they had been magnetised to each other. The ball-bearing in the oesophagus had eroded into the submucosa, hidden from view during the initial LBO. Once the ball-bearing in the bronchus was removed, the oesophageal ball-bearing became demagnetised from the submucosa and dislodged itself into the oesophageal lumen.

History and examination play an important role in the approach to suspected foreign body inhalation and ingestion. Presenting complaints of foreign body inhalation include coughing, shortness of breath and recurrent pneumonia; whereas the symptoms of foreign body ingestion may include choking, gagging and vomiting (5,6). The severity of signs and symptoms of patients with foreign body inhalation and ingestion are often variable depending on a multitude of factors such as patient age and comorbidities as well as the object type, material, size and location (7,8). Examination findings of foreign body inhalation may include wheezing, reduced air entry and crackles, while in cases of foreign body ingestion, chest examination may reveal stridor or wheezing if the foreign body is compressing the trachea (9). In both of these presentations, some patients may present acutely while others may have a prolonged asymptomatic course. Up to 45% of patients with positive history and findings of foreign body on bronchoscopy have normal physical examination findings (10). Daines et al. reported the case of a 12-year-old with a 1-year history of worsening dyspnoea and wheezing on exertion, with no response to inhaled or oral corticosteroids. Imaging findings revealed a resolving right lower lobe pneumonia. Due to the prolonged history and refractory symptoms, she underwent bronchoscopy which revealed a plastic pen cap in the right main bronchus covered by granulation tissue (11). Hence, LBO should be considered if there is a positive history and clinical signs of foreign body ingestion or aspiration.

Neck and chest radiographs in anteroposterior and lateral views are the initial imaging modality of choice for patients with suspected magnet inhalation and/or ingestion. Not only can they reveal the location and quantity of the foreign bodies, they may also capture the consequences of airway obstruction and predict its likely mechanism, as described by Chatterji et al. (12). The first mechanism is known as the check-valve obstruction, whereby inhaled air cannot be expelled on exhalation. Plain films will demonstrate ipsilateral hyperinflation of lungs of the affected side and mediastinal shift towards the unaffected side. The second mechanism is the stop-valve obstruction which occurs both during inspiration and expiration. There would be collapse and consolidation of the affected lung segment. The third mechanism is the ball-valve obstruction, which occurs when the foreign body causes full obstruction during inhalation but is dislodged by passage of air during exhalation. There would be atelectasis and associated mediastinal shift towards the affected side. Finally, the by-pass valve type occurs when there is partial obstruction throughout respiration. This would show as generalised reduced air entry of lung fields (5,12). In our case, the toddler demonstrated both check-valve and stop-valve types of obstruction (Figures 1,4). CT scans can also be obtained to gain a three-dimensional view of the location of foreign bodies especially when a diagnostic dilemma is present (13).

Magnets have the potential to attract across mucosal walls causing ulceration, perforation, fistula formation, volvulus, pressure necrosis of bowel and death (14-16). A child in New South Wales required surgery for bowel obstruction and perforation in 2006. Mater Hospital in Queensland also reported 3 children who required surgery for bowel perforations secondary to magnet ingestions in 2009 (4). Following the death of a toddler in 2011, a national ban on small high powered magnets used as toys or in toys was introduced (Consumer Protection Notice No. 5 of 2012). Under this ban, products that are unsafe should be reported to the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCCC) for investigation and potential

![Figure 8 Well-healed oesophageal mucosa 1 week after removal of foreign body.](image-url)
product recall. Indeed, there had been a number of product recalls following this ban. However, despite the national ban, consumers are still able to purchase magnetic toys via online retailers and private sellers. Moreover, the ban does not apply to small high powered magnets used in household goods or for teaching purposes in educational settings (17).

All magnets in the airway must be removed endoscopically. As for magnet ingestions, if the quantity is more than one and within endoscopic reach, endoscopic removal is mandatory to prevent complications as previously mentioned. Surgical consultation is indicated if the magnets have progressed beyond endoscopic reach. For single magnet ingestions past the stomach with no other metallic foreign body ingestions, the patient can be managed conservatively with serial X-rays and bowel regimen medications (5,18,19). In this unique case, multi-disciplinary management between paediatric otolaryngology, general and cardiothoracic surgeons was needed given the precarious location of the magnets. Median sternotomy would be the preferred route for open repair should there be any thoracic injury during retrieval of foreign bodies, as it has a lower morbidity and reduced hospitalisation compared to left thoracotomy (20).

**Conclusions**

Inhalation and ingestion of magnets pose a significant danger with the potential to cause airway obstruction and damage to airway and bowel mucosa. This case illustrates the need for prompt action and a high index of suspicion for foreign body inhalation and ingestion in patients with prolonged unexplained respiratory symptoms and no resolution with standard treatment. It also highlights the need for increased public awareness regarding the dangers of magnetic toys as well as tighter regulation of online sales of these products.
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